

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/510,667	02/22/200	00	Claus Strowitzki	249/302	2844	
22249	7590 05	5/09/2002				
LYON & LYON LLP				EXAMINER		
633 WEST FIFTH STREET SUITE 4700				FLORES RUI	Z, DELMA R	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2828		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/00/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 05/00/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		47			
•	Application No.	Applicant		110			
<b></b>	09/510,667	STROWIT	rzki, claus	μe			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		<del></del>			
•	Delma R. Flores Ri						
, The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover si	neet with the correspond	ence address	5			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be consid (6) MONTHS from the mailing day come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	te of this commun § 133).	ication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2000 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fina	l. ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a				erits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application		-					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from considerati	on.	_				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		f	2 0 8	,			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.		/ 4	and of				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1	r SUPERVISORY	AUL IP PATENT FXA	MINER			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requireme	71 IL.	GY CENTER 2				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		•			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			_				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	lational Stag	e			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro	visional appi	lication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		<u>!</u> 1.				
Attachment(s)	·						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) otice of Informal Patent Applic her:					
0.00							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 -19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. One of ordinary skill in the art would not understand the functionality of the cable on the structure from the limitations of the claims nor from the specification since the applicant lacks the details about the feature on the disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Art Unit: 2828

applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1, 4, 13, 18 and 19 the recitation "dedusting" is unclear and ambiguous since the meaning of the word "dedusting" is not defined by the applicant at the specification in such a way for one of ordinary skill in the art to understand the meaning of the word and associate the word to the claimed apparatus and its function. The examiner believes that applicant means the process wherein the residues are removed and suggests the applicant to utilize a more clear language to define said claimed limitation. Clarification is required.

Claims 1 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the structural relationship between the dedusting unit, high-voltage duct and wire loop. Applicant fails to explain in a clear manner where is the dedusting unit, high-voltage duct and wire loop in respect with the other so as to one of ordinary skill in the art to understand the structural connections. Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masuda (4,541,848).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Masuda discloses a dedusting unit for a laser optical element, comprising: a high-voltage duct comprising a high-voltage conducting core having a first end and second end and an insulator element disposed around the core, the first end of the core being connectable to high voltage power supply; and a wire loop electrically connected to the second end of the high-voltage core and the high- voltage duct comprises a coaxial duct (Column 1, lines 1 – 13, 30 – 36 and 44 – 64, Column 2, lines 19 – 33, and Column 6, lines 60 – 64).

Art Unit: 2828

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda (4,541,848) in view of Pitts, Jr. (5,591,317).

Regarding claims 3 Masuda discloses the claimed invention except for a high-voltage duct comprises a cylindrical ceramic tube. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to high-voltage duct comprises a cylindrical ceramic tube, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6238. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Delma R. Flores Ruiz

Examiner Art Unit 2828

DRFR/PI May 3, 2002 Paul Ip

Supervisor Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2828